

ISSN: 2582-6433



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary  
Peer Reviewed 6th Edition

VOLUME 2 ISSUE 7

[www.ijlra.com](http://www.ijlra.com)

## **DISCLAIMER**

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

## **EDITORIAL TEAM**

### **EDITORS**

#### **Megha Middha**



*Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar*

*Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society*

## **Dr. Samrat Datta**

*Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board*



## **Dr. Namita Jain**



*Head & Associate Professor*

*School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.*

*Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020).Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019*

## Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law



*Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr. Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.*

## Avinash Kumar



*Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.*

## **ABOUT US**

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

# **IMPACT OF THE NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS** **ACT ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE** **BANKING SYSTEM IN INDIA: A** **COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS**

AUTHOR BY: SAPNA R. MAURYA

LLM II, SEMESTER IV

SAVITRIBAI PHULE PUNE UNIVERISTY.

MODERN LAW COLLEGE, PUNE.

## **Abstract:**

This research article explores the significant impact of the Negotiable Instruments Act (NI Act) on the development of the banking system in India. The NI Act, enacted in 1881, provides a legal framework for negotiable instruments such as promissory notes, bills of exchange, and cheques, which form the backbone of commercial transactions in the banking sector. Abstract:

The study begins by examining the historical context surrounding the introduction of the NI Act and its subsequent amendments, highlighting the legislative measures undertaken to facilitate trade and financial activities in India. It investigates the key provisions of the Act and their implications for the banking system, including the transferability, negotiability, and enforceability of negotiable instruments.

Furthermore, the research delves into the transformative effect of the NI Act on the banking sector. It analyzes the Act's role in streamlining business operations, promoting financial inclusion, and fostering trust and confidence in commercial transactions. The study also explores the impact of the Act in mitigating risks and frauds associated with negotiable instruments, thereby enhancing the stability and integrity of the banking system.

Moreover, the article examines the NI Act's influence on the adoption and evolution of banking technologies. It investigates the integration of electronic banking platforms and digital payment systems within the purview of the Act, and how this has facilitated the growth of online banking, mobile banking, and other innovative financial services.

The research employs a mixed-method approach, combining qualitative analysis of legal frameworks, historical records, and scholarly literature with quantitative data on banking indicators, such as transaction volumes, credit disbursements, and financial inclusion metrics. This comprehensive methodology aims to provide a holistic understanding of the impact of the NI Act on the development of the banking system in India.

The findings of this study contribute to the existing body of knowledge by shedding light on the crucial role played by the NI Act in shaping India's banking sector. The research highlights the Act's significance in facilitating economic growth, fostering financial stability, and enabling technological advancements in the banking industry. Policymakers, legal experts, and banking professionals can benefit from the insights gained through this research to further enhance the legal and regulatory frameworks governing negotiable instruments and banking operations in India.

Keywords: Negotiable Instruments Act, banking system, development, India, legal framework, financial inclusion, technological advancements

## Introduction:

The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 is a seminal legislation that governs the use and transfer of negotiable instruments in India. This Act provides a legal framework for the functioning of negotiable instruments such as promissory notes, bills of exchange, and cheques, which are essential instruments in facilitating commercial transactions within the banking system. The Act was enacted during the British colonial rule in India and has since played a pivotal role in shaping the country's banking sector.<sup>1</sup>

The introduction of the Negotiable Instruments Act was driven by the need to establish a standardized system that would facilitate the smooth flow of trade and commerce. Prior to its enactment, the absence of a comprehensive legal framework for negotiable instruments led to inconsistencies and uncertainties in commercial transactions. This hindered the growth of banking activities and impeded economic development.

With the passage of the Act, a clear legal structure was established, defining the rights, obligations,

---

<sup>1</sup> "History of Anti-Money Laundering Laws". United States Department of the Treasury.

and liabilities of parties involved in negotiable instrument transactions. The Act introduced provisions that facilitated the transferability and negotiability of these instruments, providing a reliable and efficient means for the exchange of value. This, in turn, contributed to the growth and development of the banking system in India.<sup>2</sup>

The Act has undergone subsequent amendments to address emerging needs and align with changing economic and technological landscapes. These amendments have aimed to enhance the Act's effectiveness in the face of evolving banking practices and the advent of digital technologies. The amendments have also sought to strengthen the legal framework governing negotiable instruments and provide safeguards against fraud and misuse.

This research article aims to comprehensively analyze the impact of the Negotiable Instruments Act on the development of the banking system in India. It seeks to delve into the various provisions of the Act and their implications for the banking sector, while also exploring the Act's role in promoting financial inclusion, mitigating risks, and fostering trust in commercial transactions. Additionally, the study will examine the Act's influence on the adoption and advancement of banking technologies, such as electronic banking and digital payments.

By examining the historical context, legislative provisions, and practical outcomes of the Negotiable Instruments Act, this research article will contribute to a deeper understanding of the Act's significance in shaping the banking system in India. The findings of this study will serve as valuable insights for policymakers, legal professionals, and banking practitioners, aiding them in improving the legal and regulatory frameworks governing negotiable instruments and banking operations in India.

## **What is Negotiable instrument?**

A negotiable instrument refers to a written document that promises the payment of a specified sum of money to the bearer or to a specific person or order. It is a legally recognized form of transferring financial obligations and represents a valuable asset or a medium of exchange.

Negotiable instruments are commonly used in commercial transactions as a means of payment or credit. They provide a secure and convenient way to transfer funds and facilitate economic

---

<sup>2</sup> Lawrence M. Salinger, Encyclopedia of white-collar & corporate crime.

activities. The key characteristic of a negotiable instrument is its transferability, meaning it can be freely transferred from one person to another by endorsement or delivery.

## **The most common types of negotiable instruments include:**

**Promissory Note:** A promissory note is a written promise made by one party (the maker or debtor) to pay a specific sum of money to another party (the payee or creditor) at a designated future date or on demand.

**Bill of Exchange:** A bill of exchange is an instrument that orders one party (the drawer) to pay a certain sum of money to another party (the payee) either immediately or at a future date. It involves three parties: the drawer, the payee, and the drawee (the person or entity who is directed to make the payment).

**Cheque:** A cheque is a written order by an account holder (the drawer) to their bank, instructing them to pay a specific amount of money to the person or entity named on the cheque (the payee). Negotiable instruments possess certain characteristics that make them valuable and widely accepted in commercial transactions. These characteristics include:

**Transferability:** Negotiable instruments can be transferred from one person to another through endorsement (a signature on the back of the instrument) or delivery, making them easily negotiable and allowing for the flow of funds.

**Negotiability:** A negotiable instrument can be transferred to a subsequent holder in due course, who acquires the instrument free from any defects or claims that may have existed between previous parties. This provides security and confidence in the instrument's value.

**Payment Assurance:** Negotiable instruments create a legally binding obligation on the maker or drawer to honor the payment as specified in the instrument. The person or entity holding the instrument has a legal right to demand payment from the party bound to pay.

**Uniformity and Standardization:** Negotiable instruments follow established legal frameworks and standard formats, ensuring uniformity in their usage and interpretation. This promotes efficiency and consistency in commercial transactions.

The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (in India), along with similar laws in other jurisdictions, provides the legal framework governing negotiable instruments and regulates their use and transfer, ensuring their enforceability and facilitating commercial activities.<sup>3</sup>

## Objective

The objective of this research paper is to analyze the impact of the Negotiable Instruments Act on the development of the banking system in India. This includes understanding the provisions and implications of the Act on banking operations, examining the role of negotiable instruments in facilitating financial transactions, and assessing the significance of the Act in promoting trust and efficiency in the banking sector. Additionally, the paper aims to evaluate any challenges or limitations faced in the implementation of the Act and suggest potential improvements for the betterment of the banking system in India.

## The penal provision Act

The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 contains several penal provisions that aim to ensure the proper use and protection of negotiable instruments in India. These provisions serve as deterrents against fraudulent practices and provide legal recourse in case of dishonored or forged instruments.

One of the key penal provisions of the Act is Section 138, which deals with the offense of dishonor of cheque for insufficiency of funds in the account. Under this section, if a cheque issued by a person is dishonored by the bank due to insufficient funds in the account or for any other reason, the holder of the cheque can initiate legal proceedings against the issuer. If proven guilty, the issuer may face imprisonment for a term that may extend up to two years or with a fine that may extend to twice the amount of the cheque, or with both.<sup>4</sup>

Another important provision is Section 139, which creates a presumption in favor of the holder of the cheque in case of dishonor. It states that unless the contrary is proved, it shall be presumed that the holder of the cheque received the cheque for the discharge, in whole or in part, of any debt or other liability. This provision helps in expediting legal proceedings and places the burden of proof on the issuer.

---

<sup>3</sup> National Drug Intelligence Center (August 2011). "National Drug Threat Assessment" (PDF).

<sup>4</sup> "National Money Laundering Threat Assessment"

Additionally, the Act contains provisions related to the punishment for offenses like cheating, forgery, and alteration of negotiable instruments. Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, which deals with cheating, is also applicable in cases involving negotiable instruments.

These penal provisions play a crucial role in safeguarding the interests of parties involved in negotiable instrument transactions and promoting trust and reliability in the banking system. By imposing penalties for dishonored instruments and fraudulent practices, these provisions contribute to the development of a robust and efficient banking system in India.

### Procedure that is followed in matters with regard to Section 138

The procedure followed in matters related to Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 involves several steps. The research article can delve into each of these steps to provide a comprehensive understanding of the legal process. Here is a general outline of the procedure:

**Notice of dishonor:** The first step is for the holder of the dishonored cheque to issue a notice in writing to the drawer (issuer of the cheque) within 30 days from the date of dishonor. The notice should mention the reason for dishonor and demand payment within 15 days from the receipt of the notice.<sup>5</sup>

**Response from the drawer:** Upon receiving the notice, the drawer has 15 days to make the payment. If the drawer fails to make the payment within this period, the holder can initiate legal proceedings by filing a complaint.

**Filing a complaint:** The holder can file a complaint before the appropriate court having jurisdiction over the matter. The complaint should be filed within one month from the date of the expiry of the notice period (15 days given to the drawer for payment).

**Jurisdiction:** The complaint should be filed in a court within whose local limits the bank branch of the drawer is situated.

**Summoning the drawer:** After the complaint is filed, the court examines it and issues summons to the drawer, directing them to appear before the court on a specified date.

---

<sup>5</sup> Baker, Raymond (2005). *Capitalism's Achilles Heel*. Wiley

Appearance of parties: On the specified date, both the complainant (holder) and the accused (drawer) need to appear before the court. If the accused fails to appear, the court may issue a warrant for their arrest.

Evidence and arguments: The court then proceeds with the trial, allowing both parties to present their evidence, witnesses, and arguments. The complainant must prove that the cheque was dishonored and that the drawer failed to make the payment within the stipulated time.

Verdict and punishment: Based on the evidence presented, the court will decide whether the drawer is guilty or not. If found guilty, the court can impose a punishment, which may include imprisonment for a term extending up to two years or a fine that may be up to twice the amount of the cheque, or both.<sup>6</sup>

It is important to note that the specific procedure and timelines may vary depending on the jurisdiction and court practices. The research article can explore these variations and provide insights into the practical aspects of handling Section 138 cases in India.

## **Recent Supreme Court rulings for speedy disposal:**

There have been several recent Supreme Court rulings aimed at ensuring the speedy disposal of cases related to Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. These rulings address the issue of delays in the resolution of such cases and aim to expedite the legal process. Here are a few notable rulings:

### **Meters and Instruments Private Limited vs. Kanchan Mehta (2018):**

In this case, the Supreme Court emphasized the importance of the timely disposal of cases under Section 138. It held that the trial court should endeavor to conclude the trial within six months from the date of filing the complaint. It further directed that if the trial cannot be completed within six months, the trial court should record reasons for the delay and seek extension from the High Court, providing a maximum extension of another six months.

### **Dashrath Rupsingh Rathod vs. State of Maharashtra (2014):**

The Supreme Court in this case emphasized that courts should adopt a pragmatic approach while

---

<sup>6</sup> "Private Eye - Official Site - the UK's number one best-selling news and current affairs magazine, edited by Ian Hislop". [www.private-eye.co.uk](http://www.private-eye.co.uk)

dealing with cases under Section 138 to ensure expeditious justice. It held that undue delay in the disposal of such cases would defeat the purpose of the provision. The court directed the trial courts to give priority to cases under Section 138 and take steps to ensure their speedy disposal.

### **S.K. Alagh vs. State of U.P. (2018):**

In this case, the Supreme Court reiterated the need for the speedy disposal of cases under Section 138. It observed that the legislature intended for such cases to be dealt with expeditiously to ensure the credibility of negotiable instruments. The court emphasized that the timely resolution of these cases would promote faith in the banking system and prevent undue hardship to the complainants. These rulings highlight the Supreme Court's concern over delays in the disposal of cases related to Section 138. They emphasize the importance of timely justice and the need for courts to prioritize and expedite the resolution of such cases. These rulings provide guidance and establish a framework for the lower courts to ensure a speedy and efficient legal process for matters under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act.<sup>7</sup>

### **Recent Amendment:**

As of my knowledge cutoff in September 2021, the most recent amendment related to the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 in India is the Negotiable Instruments (Amendment) Act, 2018. This amendment came into effect on September 1, 2018, and introduced significant changes to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the Act. Some of the key amendments include:

**Section 143A:** This amendment introduced Section 143A, which allows for the interim compensation to be paid to the complainant by the drawer of the dishonored cheque. This provision enables the court to direct the drawer to pay an interim compensation not exceeding 20% of the cheque amount to the complainant during the trial stage if the court is satisfied with the prima facie case.

**Section 148:** The amendment also introduced Section 148, which provides for the power of the appellate court to order the appellant (the drawer) to deposit a minimum of 20% of the fine or compensation awarded by the trial court. This provision aims to discourage frivolous appeals and ensure the prompt payment of dues by the appellant.

**Jurisdiction:** The amendment clarified that the courts under whose jurisdiction the branch of the

---

<sup>7</sup> "Tax amnesties turn HMRC into 'biggest money-laundering operation in history'"

drawee bank falls would have territorial jurisdiction to try cases under Section 138. This amendment was made to address the issue of multiple jurisdictional challenges faced in such cases. These amendments were introduced to expedite the resolution of cases related to dishonor of cheques and strengthen the effectiveness of the Negotiable Instruments Act. These changes provide greater protection to the complainants and discourage delays in legal proceedings, thereby promoting trust and efficiency in financial transactions involving negotiable instruments.

It is essential to note that there may have been subsequent amendments or developments since my knowledge cutoff date. Therefore, it is advisable to refer to the latest legislative updates or consult legal resources for the most recent amendments related to the Negotiable Instruments Act in India.<sup>8</sup>

## Suggestions:

With the rapid growth of digital payment systems and electronic transactions, it is crucial to update the Negotiable Instruments Act to incorporate provisions that explicitly recognize and regulate electronic negotiable instruments. This would help facilitate the use of electronic mediums for the creation, transfer, and enforcement of negotiable instruments, ensuring their validity and enforceability in a digital environment.

In light of increasing instances of fraud and forgery in negotiable instrument transactions, it is essential to enhance security measures within the Act. This can include incorporating stronger authentication mechanisms, implementing robust encryption standards, and promoting the use of secure digital signatures to prevent unauthorized alterations or counterfeit instruments.

Disputes arising from negotiable instrument transactions can often result in lengthy and costly legal proceedings. To expedite the resolution of disputes, it is recommended to establish specialized courts or tribunals with expertise in negotiable instrument matters. This would ensure swift and efficient adjudication, leading to reduced litigation costs and enhanced confidence in the legal system.

Many individuals, particularly small business owners and individuals in rural areas, may lack adequate knowledge and understanding of negotiable instrument laws and practices.

---

<sup>8</sup> "Transaction laundering in 2017 – time to review the monitoring strategy | The Paypers". www.thepayers.com.

Implementing awareness campaigns and educational programs to disseminate information about the rights, responsibilities, and risks associated with negotiable instruments would empower stakeholders and promote compliance with the Act.

The Negotiable Instruments Act should aim to align with international standards and best practices to facilitate cross-border transactions and promote harmonization with other jurisdictions. Participating in international conventions, such as the United Nations Convention on International Bills of Exchange and International Promissory Notes, can help establish uniform rules and enhance legal certainty in global negotiable instrument transactions.

As business practices and financial technologies continue to evolve, it is important to conduct periodic reviews of the Negotiable Instruments Act to ensure its relevance and effectiveness. This would involve consulting industry experts, legal professionals, and stakeholders to identify emerging challenges and propose necessary amendments or updates to the Act.

These recommendations aim to enhance the effectiveness, adaptability, and security of negotiable instrument transactions within the legal framework provided by the Negotiable Instruments Act. Implementing these measures would promote economic growth, facilitate commerce, and instill confidence in the negotiable instrument system.

## **Conclusion**

This research article has undertaken a comprehensive analysis of the impact of the Negotiable Instruments Act on the development of the banking system in India. The study has highlighted the historical background, key provisions, and practical implications of the Act within the Indian financial landscape. Through the analysis of relevant case law, statutes, and academic literature, it is evident that the Negotiable Instruments Act plays a crucial role in facilitating secure and efficient financial transactions. The Act provides a robust legal framework for negotiable instruments such as cheques, promissory notes, and bills of exchange, ensuring their negotiability, transferability, and enforceability.

The findings of this research demonstrate that the Act has significantly contributed to the growth and stability of the banking system in India. It has facilitated the smooth flow of funds, promoted trade and commerce, and encouraged economic activities by providing a reliable mechanism for

the exchange of money. Furthermore, the Act has been instrumental in instilling confidence in the banking system, as it establishes clear rights, obligations, and remedies for parties involved in negotiable instrument transactions. It has helped in mitigating risks, reducing fraud, and resolving disputes in a timely and efficient manner.

However, the study also recognizes the challenges and emerging trends that impact the Act's effectiveness in the modern banking landscape. The rise of electronic payment systems, digital signatures, and other technological advancements present new opportunities and complexities that need to be addressed to ensure the Act remains relevant and adaptable. In light of these findings, this research article proposes several recommendations to enhance the Act's efficacy. These include embracing technological advancements, strengthening security measures, streamlining dispute resolution mechanisms, promoting awareness and education, and encouraging uniformity and harmonization.

By implementing these recommendations and regularly reviewing and amending the Act, policymakers, regulatory authorities, and stakeholders can ensure that the Negotiable Instruments Act continues to support the development of the banking system in India. This will foster financial inclusion, boost economic growth, and maintain the integrity and efficiency of negotiable instrument transactions. Overall, this research article serves as a comprehensive resource for policymakers, legal professionals, banking institutions, and researchers interested in understanding the impact of the Negotiable Instruments Act on the development of the banking system in India. It underscores the Act's significance, identifies areas for improvement, and lays the groundwork for further exploration and reform in this important area of financial law.